1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
2		OF NEVADA )
3	FRANCISCO JOSE MERCHAN ROCHA,	) )
4	Plaintiff, vs.	) Case No.: 2:14-cv-00051
5 6	VERONICA MOLANO FLOREZ, AKA GABRIELLE VERONICA MOLANO FLOREZ,	) ) )
7	Defendant.	) )
8		
9	FINDINGS OF FACT, CON	NCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
10	THIS MATTER came before the Hon	orable Robert C. Jones, on February 12, 2014,
11	at 1:30 p.m. on Plaintiff's Motion for a Prelin	ninary Injunction, and for a trial on the merits
12	pertaining the return of the minor child, Sofia	Merchan Molano ("SMM"). Present at the
13	Hearing were Plaintiff, Francisco Jose Merch	an Rocha, represented by his attorney of
14	record, Emily McFarling, Esq. of McFarling	Law Group. Also present was Maria Rios
15 16	Landin, legal assistant to Emily McFarling. D	Defendant Veronica Molano Florez, nka
17	Veronica Gabrielle, was present and represen	ted by Christopher Ford, Esq., and Matthew
18	Friedman, Esq. of Ford & Friedman.	
19	Counsel presented their opening states	ments; testimony and exhibits were also
20	presented.	
21	The Court having reviewed the papers	s and pleadings on file herein, heard arguments
22	from counsel, testimony of the parties and De	efendant's husband, Sam Gabrielle, interviewed
23	the minor child, considered evidence, and goo	od cause appearing, issues the following
24		
25	FINDINGS and ORDERS:	
26		
a = 1		

1	THE COURT FINDS that the parties discussed and, at least Veronica, understood
2	that the divorce agreement, including the custody agreement at issue herein, could be
3	modified, in other words, it was not permanent.
4	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the divorce agreement itself is binding and it
5	does not contain an exception or a statement or any consent that there will be a change of
6 7	residence or citizenship regarding SMM.
8	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to the custody agreement, Francisco
9	has custody of SMM. Said agreement provided that he give Veronica visitation rights. He
10	was honoring that, therefore, Veronica must honor that too.
11	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco consented to SMM visiting the
12	USA, with the understanding that there was a return plane ticket purchased and SMM would
13	be returning to the country of Colombia on the date of the return plane ticket.
14   15	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco did not acquiesce to SMM
16	remaining in the United States after the fact.
17	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco sent various emails regarding
18	SMM's vaccination records and school records necessary for SMM's enrollment in School.
19	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco obviously acquiesced to the child
20	being enrolled in school in the State of Nevada.
21	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco did not consent to the child's
22	relocation to the United States of America or staying in the United States permanently.
23	
24   25	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no imminent or dangerous risk for the
26	child to return to her father in Colombia.
27	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM confirmed the testimony that Francisco
28	spanked SMM, whipped SMM with a belt, and hit SMM on the head with a ringed finger,

1	especially while in Australia. However, after they returned to the country of Colombia, her	
2	father needed her, was distressed and did not do that.	
3	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM has no desire to return to the school	
4	where she was allegedly molested by a professor. SMM is aware that the professor is no	
5	longer at that school, but there is no doubt that SMM believes that the alleged incident of	
6	molestation did occur.	
7 8	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the most important factual issue is whether the	
9	Court can rely upon the child's desires and wishes. Moreover, the Court finds that it can rel	
10		
11	upon the desires and wishes of SMM.	
12	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM is a very mature young lady.	
13	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the minor child has a strong intellectual	
14	capacity and speaks her opinions well.	
15	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there may be some influence by Veronica or	
16	Sam over the child's opinion, but that it is not an overriding or undue influence.	
17	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that it is apparent that Sam and/or Veronica have	
18	insisted that SMM not speak with Francisco during this interim period.	
19	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM stated that the reason for Veronica	
20	and/or Sam's request that she not speak with Francisco is that Francisco might memorialize	
21   22	or record such communications and those could be used against her. That should stop as the	
23	father has rights.	
24	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child desires to live with her mother,	
25	Veronica.	
26		
27	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child desires to live in the United States.	
28		

- 1	
1	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child's motivation and stated intent, to live
2	with her mother and live in the U.S.A., are sincere.
3	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that it is probable that 60% of the child's
4 5	motivation is she wants to live with her mother, and the other 40% is she likes to live in Las
6	Vegas and in the United States.
7	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child stated that she lives in a small
8	apartment with her grandparents in Colombia, and that Sam provides a huge house in Las
9	Vegas, and she is very well taken care of.
10	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child's strong express desire is to live with her
11	mother during the next indefinite period.
12	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the minor child is willing, when matters
13 14	stabilize, to return to Colombia for visitation.
15	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Courts of Colombia have legitimate
16	jurisdiction over the child.
17	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there was no permanent change in the
18	residence or domicile or the intent with respect to the domicile of the child prior to her
19	coming to the USA and, therefore, that pursuant to the Hague Convention the Colombian
20	Courts should decide these matters.
21	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Petition is granted but its
22 23	effect shall be deferred for a period of six (6) months, from the date of _ February 12, 2014
24	with an additional six (6) month deferment period available upon the application of the
25	
26	parties.
27	
28	

- 1	
1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Court is staying the effect of its order for a period
2	of six months to allow Veronica to file an appeal and get a stay, and/or to modify custody in
3	Colombia.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there is an injunction in place immediately,
5	prohibiting the removal of SMM from the State of Nevada, County of Clark, or from
6	changing SMM's residence, without the Court's permission or consent.
7	
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Veronica and Sam are not prohibited from leaving
9	the State of Nevada.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Sam and Veronica are mandated to provide access, at
11	least by telephone, if not actual visitation. Veronica can assure herself that all such visitation
12 13	occurs in a secure place, but must not deny access to the father for both telephone calls
14	and/or visits.
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Sam and Veronica cannot insist on being present
16	during Francisco's visits or phone calls with the child.
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Veronica can satisfy herself that visitation is in a
18	secure place, like in a room in the house, or room in a commercial building, but cannot deny
19	face-to-face visitation in person, and cannot deny Francisco from sole presence with the
20	child. Veronica needs to let Francisco and SMM talk privately.
21   22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED an arrangement can be made for a third party (not
23	Veronica or Sam), to follow in a separate car.
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will coordinate Francisco's visitation
25	during his remaining stay in Las Vegas, through their counsel.
26	
27	

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francisco shall be entitled to telephonic and
2	physical access to SMM until he departs for Colombia only if Veronica satisfies herself,
3	concerning SMM's safety and security.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that neither party nor Sam will record SMM's
5	communications, including phone conversations.
6 7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the method for applying for the additional six (6)
8	month extension to the stay of this order shall be by motion, setting forth adequate cause
9	upon which to issue the extension.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the parties were advised that criminal contempt will lie
11	
12	if either one of them violates the Court's order, and will be prosecuted in the court of the
13	United States, whether the party is in the United States.
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Counsel for Francisco will prepare and provide an
15	Order to Veronica's Counsel, complying with the findings and orders of this Court. Counsel
16	for Francisco will review said findings and order, to see if Veronica's counsel has any
17	objections and/or additions. Thereafter a proposed Order shall be provided to this Court to be
18	entered.
19	IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of May, 2014.
20	$O \cap A$
21	THE HONORABLE ROBERT C. JONES
22	
23   24	MCFARLING LAW GROUP Approved as to form and content:
25	/s/Emily McFarling /s/Matthew H. Friedman
26	Emily McFarling, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8567  Matthew H. Friedman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11571
27	Attorney for Plaintiff  Attorney for Defendant
28	